

Deriving Energy-Gap of Some Hamiltonians with Kinetic Coupling by the Invariant Eigen-Operator Method

Gang Ren · Hong-yi Fan

Received: 26 December 2008 / Accepted: 26 February 2009 / Published online: 5 March 2009
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2009

Abstract We begin with proposing a unitary operator responsible for diagonalizing the Hamiltonian with kinetic couplings in particle physics to get a new form of Hamiltonian which has no coupling terms. By virtue of the invariant eigen-operator (IEO) method we search for the invariant eigen-operators for the new Hamiltonian. In this way the energy-gap of the Hamiltonians can be naturally obtained. This method may be generalized to N -mode Hamiltonian with kinetic couplings case.

Keywords Unitary operator · The invariant eigen-operator · Coupling terms

1 Introduction

It is well known the internal coordinates are frequently adopted in theoretical molecular physics [1], and consequently there naturally arise kinetic energy coupling terms in the dynamic vibrational Hamiltonian describing the molecules [2]. The kinetic energy coupling in one-dimensional diatomic systems is treated in the representation based on the eigenvectors of two particles' relative position and total momentum [3–5]. Later, a method of linear change of variables was suggested, which seems effective to decouple the kinetic energy operator in the two-body Hamiltonian [6]. In [7], the authors solved some three-body problems regarding molecular vibrational dynamics. A interesting question thus naturally arises: is it possible to solve such Hamiltonians with kinetic coupling by the invariant eigen-operator method? If yes, it will greatly facilitates the calculations of the problem.

Work supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant 10475056 and Foundation of President of Chinese Academy of Science.

G. Ren (✉) · H.-y. Fan
Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Science and Technology of China,
Hefei, Anhui 230026, China
e-mail: renfeiyu@mail.ustc.edu.cn

H.-y. Fan
Department of Physics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200030, China

The work is arranged as follows: in Sect. 2, the idea of IEO will be briefly recapitulated, in Sect. 3, we will employ the IEO method to derive the energy-gap for a type of two-particle Hamiltonian with kinetic coupling, in Sect. 4 we consider a three-particle Hamiltonian with kinetic coupling. This work is useful for deriving energy-gap of some Hamiltonians with kinetic coupling.

2 Invariant Eigen-Operator Method

We usually set up Schrödinger equation [7] to solve quantum mechanical dynamic problems. When Hamiltonian \hat{H} does not include time, the solution of stationary Schrödinger equation $\hat{H}|\Psi_n\rangle = E_n|\Psi_n\rangle$ can straightforwardly depict system energy spectra and eigenstates [8]. However, only very limited Hamiltonians can be solved analytically. As important as the Schrödinger equation there is the Heisenberg equation [9]

$$i \frac{d}{dt} \hat{O} = [\hat{O}, \hat{H}], \quad \hbar = 1, \tag{1}$$

but (1) is seldom used to directly solve energy spectrum problem. In [10, 11] we have developed the idea of stationary Schrödinger equation to so-called invariant eigen-operator equation, i.e. we construct the following equation

$$\left(i \frac{d}{dt}\right)^n \hat{O}_e = [\dots [[\hat{O}_e, \hat{H}], \hat{H}] \dots, \hat{H}] = \lambda \hat{O}_e \tag{2}$$

to derive energy-level gap of dynamic systems. In (2), \hat{O}_e is named invariant eigen-operator since it satisfies the eigenvector-like equation. The essence of this method is based on the observation of Schrödinger operator $i \frac{d}{dt} \longleftrightarrow \hat{H}$ (the energy operator), $(i \frac{d}{dt})^n \longleftrightarrow \hat{H}^n$, so (2) can be viewed as an eigen-operator equation with λ being the eigenvalue of $(i \frac{d}{dt})^n$. Therefore from (2) we know that the energy gap is $\sqrt[n]{\lambda}$. By virtue of this method, we have directly and conveniently obtained energy levels of certain dynamic systems.

3 Energy-Gap of Two-Particle Hamiltonian with Kinetic Coupling

We consider a two-particle Hamiltonian with kinetic coupling

$$H_1 = \omega(a^\dagger a + b^\dagger b) + k(a^\dagger b^\dagger + ab) + \frac{g}{(X_1 - X_2)^2}, \tag{3}$$

where ω, k and g are real parameters.

Now we use a two-mode squeezed transformation to derive the energy-gap for the Hamiltonian in (3). We begin with proposing a two-mode squeezed operator for diagonalizing H in (3) having the form

$$S_2 = \exp(a^\dagger b^\dagger \tanh \lambda) \exp[(a^\dagger a + b^\dagger b + 1) \ln \operatorname{sech} \lambda] \exp(-ab \tanh \lambda). \tag{4}$$

Using the operator formula

$$e^A B e^{-A} = B + [A, B] + \frac{1}{2!}[A, [A, B]] + \frac{1}{3!}[A, [A, [A, B]]] + \dots, \tag{5}$$

and

$$X_i = \frac{a_i + a_i^\dagger}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad i = 1, 2 \tag{6}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 a S_2^{-1} &= a \cosh \lambda - b^\dagger \sinh \lambda, & S_2 b S_2^{-1} &= b \cosh \lambda - a^\dagger \sinh \lambda, \\ S_2 a^\dagger S_2^{-1} &= a^\dagger \cosh \lambda - b \sinh \lambda, & S_2 b^\dagger S_2^{-1} &= b^\dagger \cosh \lambda - a \sinh \lambda. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

From (6), (7) and (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} H'_1 &\equiv S_2 H_1 S_2^{-1} \\ &= (a^\dagger a + b^\dagger b)[\omega(1 + 2 \sinh^2 \lambda) - k \sinh 2\lambda] \\ &\quad - (a^\dagger b^\dagger + ab)[\omega \sinh 2\lambda - k(1 + 2 \sinh^2 \lambda)] + \frac{g}{(\cosh \lambda - \sinh \lambda)^2 (X_1 - X_2)^2} \\ &\quad + 2\omega \sinh^2 \lambda - k \sinh 2\lambda. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

In the case $\omega \sinh 2\lambda = k(1 + 2 \sinh^2 \lambda)$, (8) can be changed into

$$H'_1 = K(a^\dagger a + b^\dagger b) + \frac{G}{(X_1 - X_2)^2} + C, \tag{9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \omega(1 + 2 \sinh^2 \lambda) - k \sinh 2\lambda, & G &= \frac{g}{(\cosh \lambda - \sinh \lambda)^2}, \\ C &= 2\omega \sinh^2 \lambda - k \sinh 2\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

It is remarkable that H'_1 has no coupling terms, and if $|E\rangle$ is an eigenvector of H'_1 , $S_2 H_1 S_2^{-1} |E\rangle$ is the eigenvector of H with the same energy eigenvalue.

For

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\sum_i P_i^2, \frac{1}{(X_1 - X_2)^2} \right] \\ &= -i \sum_i P_i \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} \frac{1}{(X_1 - X_2)^2} - i \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} \frac{1}{(X_1 - X_2)^2} P_i \\ &= 2i(P_1 - P_2) \frac{1}{(X_1 - X_2)^3} + 2i \frac{1}{(X_1 - X_2)^3} (P_1 - P_2), \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_i a_i^2, \frac{1}{(X_1 - X_2)^2} \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} \left[\sum_i P_i^2, \frac{1}{(X_1 - X_2)^2} \right] + \frac{i}{4} \left[\sum_i (X_i P_i + P_i X_i), \frac{1}{(X_1 - X_2)^2} \right] \\ &= -i \left[(P_1 - P_2) \frac{1}{(X_1 - X_2)^3} + \frac{1}{(X_1 - X_2)^3} (P_1 - P_2) \right] - \frac{2}{(X_1 - X_2)^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\sum_i a_i^\dagger a_i, \frac{1}{(X_1 - X_2)^2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_i P_i^2, \frac{1}{(X_1 - X_2)^2} \right] \\ &= i \left[(P_1 - P_2) \frac{1}{(X_1 - X_2)^3} + \frac{1}{(X_1 - X_2)^3} (P_1 - P_2) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Assuming the invariant eigen-operator of the Hamiltonian in (9) be

$$\hat{O}_1 = (a^2 + b^2) - \frac{G}{K} \frac{1}{(X_1 - X_2)^2}, \tag{13}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{O}_1, H'_1] &= K \left[(a^2 + b^2), K(a^\dagger a + b^\dagger b) \right] + G \left[(a^2 + b^2), \frac{1}{(X_1 - X_2)^2} \right] \\ &\quad + G \left[(a^\dagger a + b^\dagger b), \frac{1}{(X_1 - X_2)^2} \right] \\ &= 2K(a^2 + b^2) - \frac{2G}{(X_1 - X_2)^2} = 2K \hat{O}_1. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

According (2), we can write down the energy-gap of H'_1 (or H_1):

$$\Delta E_1 = 2K = 2[\omega(1 + 2 \sinh^2 \lambda) - k \sinh 2\lambda]. \tag{15}$$

4 Energy-Gap of Three-Particle Hamiltonian with Kinetic Coupling

We consider a triatomic molecule model with the kinetic coupling terms as the following:

$$H_2 = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{P_i^2}{2m} + kP_1P_2 + lP_1P_3 + lP_2P_3 + V_1(X_2 - X_1) + V_2(X_3 - X_{12}), \tag{16}$$

where $X_{12} = (X_1 + X_2)/2$ is the center-of-mass of particles 1 and 2, which have the same mass. V_1 and V_2 are arbitrary functions about $(X_2 - X_1)$ and $(X_3 - X_{12})$, respectable. k and l are the coupling parameters.

We give a unitary operator responsible for diagonalizing H_2 in (16) having the form

$$U(A) = \sqrt{\det A} \int |Ap\rangle \langle p| dp, \tag{17}$$

where $|P\rangle = |p_1, p_2, p_3\rangle$ is the three-mode momentum eigenstate, with $P_i|p\rangle = p_i|p\rangle$, A is a 3×3 matrix,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1/2 & \eta v \\ 1 & -1/2 & \eta v \\ 0 & 1 & v \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\eta = \frac{m^{-1} - l}{m^{-1} + k - 2l}, \quad v \neq 0, \tag{18}$$

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{(2\eta + 1)v} \begin{bmatrix} -(\eta + \frac{1}{2})v & (\eta + \frac{1}{2})v & 0 \\ -v & -v & 2\eta v \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{19}$$

In (18) v can be an arbitrary number except zero, and

$$\det(A) = (2\eta + 1)v = \frac{3m^{-1} - 4l + k}{m^{-1} + k - 2l} v \neq 0. \tag{20}$$

This unitary operator U is v -dependent.

From $\langle p_i | p_j \rangle = \delta(p_i - p_j)\delta_{ij}$, we can easily prove that $UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = 1$, i.e.,

$$UU^\dagger = \det A \iint |Ap\rangle\langle p|p'\rangle\langle Ap'|dpdp' = 1. \tag{21}$$

Under U transformation, P and X transform as

$$U^\dagger P U = AP, \quad U^\dagger X U = \tilde{A}^{-1} X, \tag{22}$$

where \tilde{A} is the transposition of A .

Moreover, from

$$\begin{aligned} U(A)U(A^{-1}) &= U(A) \cdot \sqrt{\det A^{-1}} \int |A^{-1}p\rangle\langle p|dp \iint |Ap\rangle\delta(p - A^{-1}p')\langle p'|dpdp' \\ &= \int |AA^{-1}p'\rangle\langle p'|dp' = 1, \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

we can derive

$$U^\dagger(A) = U(A^{-1}). \tag{24}$$

As a result of (22) and (24) we have

$$U P U^\dagger = A^{-1} P, \quad U X U^\dagger = \tilde{A} X. \tag{25}$$

Performing the U transformation on H , as a result of (18), (19), and (22), we obtain

$$U^\dagger H_2 U = \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i P_i^2 + V_1(X_1) + V_2(X_2) \equiv H'_2, \tag{26}$$

where

$$\lambda_1 = m^{-1} - k,$$

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{3}{4m} + \frac{1}{4}k - l,$$

$$\lambda_3 = \Lambda v^2,$$

$$\Lambda = \left(\frac{m^{-1} - l}{m^{-1} + k - 2l} \right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{m} + k \right) + \frac{1}{2m} + 2l \frac{m^{-1} - l}{m^{-1} + k - 2l}.$$

It is remarkable that H'_2 has no coupling terms.

Here we examine the case when

$$V_1(X_1) = \lambda_2 X_1^2, \quad V_2(X_2) = \lambda_1 X_2^2. \quad (27)$$

Substituting (27) into (26), we have

$$H_2'' = \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i P_i^2 + \lambda_2 X_1^2 + \lambda_1 X_2^2. \quad (28)$$

Assuming the invariant eigen-operator of the Hamiltonian in (28) to be

$$\hat{O}_2 = X_1 + X_2, \quad (29)$$

we have

$$[\hat{O}_2, H_2''] = 2i\lambda_1 P_1 + 2i\lambda_2 P_2, \quad (30)$$

and

$$[[\hat{O}_2, H_2''], H_2''] = 4\lambda_1\lambda_2(X_1 + X_2). \quad (31)$$

According to (2), we know that the energy-level gap of H_2'' is

$$\Delta E_1 = 2\sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_2}. \quad (32)$$

In summary, we begin with proposing a unitary operator responsible for diagonalizing the Hamiltonians with kinetic coupling terms, then by virtue of the IEO method we can derive the energy-gap of the Hamiltonians. This method may be generalized to the N -mode case and will be useful for analyzing both the squeezing and entangling mechanisms.

References

1. Wilson, E.B., Decius, J.C., Cross, P.C.: *Molecular Vibration*. McGraw-Hill, New York (1955)
2. Mills, I.M., Robiette, A.G.: *Mol. Phys.* **56**, 743 (1985)
3. Fan, H.-Y., Chen, B.-z., Fan, Y.: *Phys. Lett. A* **213**, 226 (1996)
4. Fan, H.-Y., Li, L.-s.: *Phys. Lett. A* **229**, 264 (1997)
5. Fan, H.-Y., Klauder, J.R.: *Phys. Rev. A* **49**, 704 (1994)
6. Fernandez, F.M.: *Phys. Lett. A* **229**, 262 (1997)
7. Fan, H.-Y., Zhang, Y.: *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **15**, 98 (1998)
8. Schrödinger, E.: *Four Lectures on Wave Mechanics* (1928)
9. Born, M.: *Atomic Physics*, 6th edn. Blackie & Son Ltd., London (1958)
10. Heisenberg, W.: *The Physical Principles of the Quantum Theory*. Dover, New York (1930)
11. Fan, H.-Y., Li, C.: *Phys. Lett. A* **321**, 75 (2004)